

Early Learning Coalition of North Florida, Inc.

Questions and Answers – First Round

Regarding:

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL #ELCNF – 22/23 – 001

for the Delivery of

School Readiness and Voluntary Prekindergarten Services:

Child Care Resource and Referral, Inclusion, Quality Support Services,

Eligibility and Enrollment, and Fiscal Administration

Question #	Question	Answer
1	<p>Page 55 Section 4.5 Financial Viability Number 2. b. says, “The most recent audit is defined as the audit performed during the most recent calendar or fiscal year and must cover the Proposer’s prior fiscal year end financial statements.”</p> <p>Question: Our most recent year is not closed out and the audit will likely not be completed prior to the RFP due date. In this case may we submit our most recent audit which is for 2019/2020?</p>	<p>Yes, you may submit your most recent audit for 2019/2020 if you have not received your completed audit for 2020/2021 in time to include it in your proposal to be received by the deadline.</p>
2	<p>Page 55 Section 4.5 Financial Viability Number 3 says, “All Proposers must demonstrate fiscal solvency, pursuant to three financial viability tests utilized by the Coalition based on the most recent audited financial statements, with an unqualified/unmodified opinion. 55”</p> <p>Page 66, Section 6.9 H. says, “All proposers must demonstrate fiscal solvency, in accordance with the three financial viability tests, described in Section 4.5.2, utilized by the Coalition based on the most recent audited financial statements, with an unqualified/unmodified opinion for Proposers.”</p> <p>Question: Our most recent year is not closed out and the audit will likely not be completed prior to the RFP due date. In this case may we use our most recent audit which is for 2019/2020?</p>	<p>Yes, you may submit your most recent audit for 2019/2020 if you have not received your completed audit for 2020/2021 in time to include it in your proposal to be received by the deadline.</p>

3	<p>Starting on page 26 of the RFP, it goes from 3.8.1.8 - to Reporting to 3.1.1.9 - Inclusion. This continues as 3.1.1.10 and so forth through page 36. It then goes back to 3.8.1.16 – Management Information Systems.</p> <p>Question: We were wondering if this was a typo. If so, when responding to the RFP, should we use the numbers that are currently listed in the RFP (i.e. 3.1.1.9 - Inclusion) or should we label them differently?</p>	<p>Thank you for catching this error, and we do apologize for this inconvenience. An error occurred while converting the Word document to a pdf for uploading. A corrected pdf has been uploaded to our ELC website.</p> <p>As for an answer to your question, please continue to use the section reference numbers beginning with “3.8” throughout pages 26-36 (instead of “3.1” that appeared in the previous version in error).</p>
---	---	--